

PERCHLOROETHYLENE DRY CLEANERS



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D	DISCOVERY (CI) AINT NO:			
AIRS ID#: 0112295 DAT	E: <u>12/20/2011</u>	ARRIVE: <u>1400</u>	DEPART: <u>1500</u>			
FACILITY NAME: FASI	HION CLEANERS INC MAIN	I PLANT				
FACILITY LOCATION:	2427 W Broward Blvd					
	FT LAUDERDALE 333	312-1305				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED Email: CONTACT NAME: BR Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOR		EY CANTVILLE	PHONE: (954)583-8225 Mobile: PHONE: (954)583-6044 Mobile:			
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ✓ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
DADEN FACHTEN OF	A COUNTY OF THE CO	212 200 FA C				
PART II: FACILITY CL (check on	ASSIFICATION - Rule 62- ally one box in A)	213.300 FAC				
transfer only, x both types, x < (constructed be 3. Existing large dry-to-dry only transfer only, 2 both types, 140 (constructed be 5. Ineligible for	7, $x < 140 \text{ gal/yr}$ x < 200 gal/yr x < 200 gal/yr x < 140 gal/yr x < 140 < x < 2,100 gal/yr x < 1,800 gal/yr	transfer only, both types, x (constructed of types). 4. New large ard dry-to-dry on transfer only, both types, 14	aly, x < 140 gal/yr , x < 200 gal/yr < 140 gal/yr on or after 12/9/91)			
	olume of all perchloroethylene (ras -100200.00 gallons.	(perc) purchases made	e in each of the previous 12 months by this dry			

PA	ART III: GENERAL CONTROL REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC			check x for e		only o		
1.	Is all perc, and wastes containing perc, in tightly sealed & impervious containers?	\boxtimes	Yes		No		N/A	
2.	Are all perc. containers leak free ?	\boxtimes	Yes		No		N/A	
3.	Are all machine doors kept closed and secured except during loading/unloading?	\boxtimes	Yes		No			
4.	Are cartridge filters d rained in their housing or in sealed containers for at least 24 hours prior to disposal?		Yes		No	\boxtimes	N/A	
5.	Has each dry cleaning system installed after December 21, 2005 at an area source, routed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream contained within each dry cleaning machine through a refrigerated condenser and passed the air-PCE gas-vapor stream from inside the dry cleaning machine drum through a non-vented carbon adsorber or equivalent control device immediately before the door of the dry cleaning machine is opened? The carbon adsorber must be desorbed in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.		Yes		No	\boxtimes	N/A	
6.	Is solvent-to-carbon ratios and steam pressure for carbon adsorber beds maintain according to the manufacturer's specifications?		Yes		No	\boxtimes	N/A	
	ART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC efer to Part II-A.14. Classification: page 1 of 4, this form) 1. If the facility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required. Proceedings of the facility classification is an existing small area source, no controls are required.	rocec	od to P	ert V				
	 If the f acility classification is an <u>existing small area source</u>, no controls are required. Proceed to Part V. If the facility classification is a <u>new small area source</u>, the machine should be equipped with a refrigerated 							
	condenser. Complete section A. below.							
	3. If the fa cility classification is an existing large area source , the machine should be equipped with either a refrigerated condenser or a carbon adsorber. Complete both sections A and B below. Carbon adsorber must have been installed prior to September 22, 1993							
	4. If the facility classification is a <u>new large area source</u> , the machine should be equipped condenser. Complete both sections A and B below.	with	a refriş	gerated	i			
Α.	Has the responsible official of all existing large area & new sources:					only o		
1.	Equipped all machines with the appropriate vent controls?	\boxtimes	Yes		No			
2.	Equipped dry-to-dry machines with a closed-loop vapor venting system?	\boxtimes	Yes		No		N/A	
3.	Equipped the condenser with a diverter valve so airflow will be directed away from the condenser upon opening the door?	\boxtimes	Yes		No		N/A	
4.	Measured and recorded the temperature of the outlet exhaust stream of a refrigerated condenser on a weekly basis?	\boxtimes	Yes		No		N/A	
5.	Repaired or adjusted the equipment within 24 hours if the exhaust temperature of the condenser exceeded 45° F?		Yes		No	\boxtimes	N/A	
6.	Conducted all temperature monitoring after an appropriate cool-down period and after verifying that the coolant had been completely charged?	\boxtimes	Yes		No			

PA							
PART IV: PROCESS VENT CONTROLS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)							
	For all existing large or new large area sources: Is the exhaust temperature on the outlet side of the condenser located on dry-to-dry, reclaimer, and dryer machines measured and recorded on a weekly basis?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No			
2.	Is the washer exhaus t temperature at the condenser inlet and outlet measured and recorded weekly?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
	a) Is the temperature differential equal to, or greater than 20° F?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
3.	Is the perc concentration in the exhaust stream inlet and outlet measured weekly at the end of the final drying cycle while the machine is venting to the adsorber, if machines are equipped exclusively with a carbon adsorber?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A		
	a) Is the perc concentration equal to, or less than 100 ppm?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
4.	Is the sampling port on the carbon adsorber exhaust for measuring perc concentrations at least 8 duct diameters downstream of any bend, contraction, or expansion; is at least 2 duct diameters upstream from any bend, contraction, or expansion; and downstream from no other inlet?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A		
5.	Are transfer machines equipped (dryers, reclaimers, and washers) with individual condenser coils?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	□ N/A		
6.	Is airflow routed to the carbon adsorber (if used) at all times?	\boxtimes	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
PA	ART V: <u>RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC			check ☑ x for each	only one question)		
	ART V: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-213.300(3) FAC Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?	\boxtimes			•		
1.			bo	x for each	•		
1. 2.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?		Yes	x for each	•		
1. 2.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained?		Yes	x for each	•		
1. 2.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained? Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following:		Yes Yes	x for each No No	question)		
1. 2. 3.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased? Are rolling monthly total s of yearly perc consumption maintained? Are leak detection inspection and repair reports maintained for the following: a) Of any leaks repaired w/in 24 hrs? or;		Yes Yes Yes	x for each No No No	question)		
1. 2. 3.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?		yes Yes Yes Yes	x for each No No No	question) N/A N/A		
1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	x for each No No No No	question) N/A N/A N/A		
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	x for each No No No No No	question) N/A N/A N/A		
1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Are receipts maintained for all perc purchased?		Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	x for each No No No No No No	question) N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A		

PA	PART VI: <u>LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS</u> – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (check ✓ only one					
1.	What type of leak detection equipment is used to detect leaks?	bo	ox for each	question)		
	☐ Halogenated hydrocarbon detector ☐ PCE gas analyzer ☐ None used					
2.	Is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer operated according to					
	the manufacturer's instructions (manual was available and RO could demonstrate					
	procedure) ?	Yes	⊠ No			
3.	For major sources is the halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer					
	operated according to EPA Method 21 ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
4.	Is the vapor leak inspection conducted by placing the probe inlet at the surface of					
	each component interface where leakage could occur and moving it slowly along					
	the interface periphery?	Yes	☐ No			
5.	Is the PCE gas analyzer a flame ionization detector, photo ionization detector, or					
	infrared analyzer capable of detecting vapor concentrations of PCE of 25 parts per					
	million by volume (based on documented specifications) ?	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
6.	Is the <u>halogenated hydrocarbon detector</u> capable of detecting vapor concentrations					
	of PCE of 25 parts per million by volume (based on documented specifications) and					
	indicating a concentration of 25 parts per million by volume or greater by emitting					
	an audible or visual signal that varies as the concentration changes? 🖂	Yes	☐ No	N/A		
7.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected weekly for perceptible leaks (sight, sm	nell or	touch) while	le the		
	system is in operation (§63.322(k))?					
	(Inspection with a halogenated hydrocarbon detector or PCE gas analyzer also fulfills the requirement for insp	pection	of perceptib	le leaks)		
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A h) Stills Y		 No No No No No No	N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A		
8.	Are the following dry cleaning system components inspected monthly for vapor leaks using a haloge	enated	hydrocarbo	on detector		
	or PCE gas analyzer while the system is in operation? (Any inspection conducted according to this parage	raph sl	hall satisfy th	ne		
	requirements to conduct an inspection for perceptible leaks under §63.322(k) or (l))					
	b) Door gaskets and seating Yes No N/A N/A N/A Stills Yes Yes No N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	□ No□ No□ No□ No□ No	N/AN/AN/AN/AN/AN/A		

PART VI: LEAK DETECTION AND REPAIRS – Rule 62-213.300 FAC (continued)							
9. What evidence suggests that leak checks are performed as re Leak log documentation RO Assurances Explain other:	equired? On-site observation						
	12/20/2011						
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection						
	12/20/2012						
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection						

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 12/20/2011, AQD staff (E.Susky) observed operations at Fashion Cleaners. The facility has one PERC dry-cleaning machine and one Petroleum dry-cleaning machine (which was being repaired during inspection). Mr. Bent Cantville accompanied staff on the inspection. The housekeeping was excellent. The drums of hazardous waste were stored in secondary containment and drums were properly capped and labeled. The spotting board has metal plating beneath it. Mr. Cantville had his PERC receipts, waste manifests and utilizes his FDEP dry-cleaning calendar to demonstrate his leak checks and rolling PERC averages. Mr. Cantville was also able to demonstrate his PERC sniffer. The REMA vacuum was also observed to be in secondary containment.